ATTACHMENT 61

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
              FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                       SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
       IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL ROBOT ) Lead Case No.:
       ANTITRUST LITIGATION,
                                          ) 3:21-cv-03825-VC
 5
       THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
 6
       ALL CASES
 7
 8
       SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE
       COMPANY, INC.,
                                           ) Case No.
 9
                                           ) 3:21-cv-03496-VC
                      Plaintiff,
10
            vs.
11
       INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
12
                      Defendant.
13
14
15
16
                     REMOTE PROCEEDINGS OF THE
17
        DEPOSITION OF JOHN SAMPSON IN HIS PERSONAL CAPACITY
18
                AND AS CORPORATE DESIGNEE, 30(B)(6)
                     THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2022
19
20
21
22
23
       REPORTED BY NANCY J. MARTIN
24
       CSR. NO. 9504, RMR, RPR
25
       PAGES 1 - 104
                                                    Page 1
```

1	was 12 units.
2	BY MR. KENDRICK:
3	Q. But after this report, you became aware of
4	that?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. Do you think Franciscan was typically
7	able to get better terms because it was a group
8	organization comprised of multiple hospitals?
9	MR. DOMINGUEZ: Objection to form. Lacks
LO	foundation. Calls for speculation.
L1	THE WITNESS: I believe we were able to get
L2	the best pricing we could because we would bring
L3	volume to the table.
L4	BY MR. KENDRICK:
L5	Q. And that pricing would typically be better
L6	than an individual hospital trying to purchase the
L7	same products?
L8	MR. DOMINGUEZ: Objection to form. Lacks
L9	foundation. Calls for speculation.
20	THE WITNESS: I would say that I knew that
21	the pricing for three or five units would be better
22	than the pricing for one.
23	BY MR. KENDRICK:
24	Q. Okay. So moving down, towards the end of
25	this page there's a line that says, "Service/
	Page 29

1 Α. Yes. 2 Ο. What was contained in that spreadsheet? 3 Basically, just the summary of the slides Α. that I had gotten from Andy as far as the value that 4 they were providing in this deal. And is that just financial value or other 6 7 forms of value? It was just financial value. 9 And then flipping to the next page. Q. 10 At the top it says, "By purchasing these 5 systems together we'll save \$1.07 million." Do you see that? 11 12 Correct. Α. 13 And is that accurate? Ο. 14 Α. To my knowledge, that's accurate. 15 So it's correct -- sorry. Go ahead. Ο. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Okay. So it's correct that Franciscan Q. 18 ultimately saved 1.07 million on this purchase? 19 Α. Yes, as far as we were concerned. 2.0 So up towards the top of the previous page, 21 the middle E-mail -- do you see the middle E-mail from 22 Jennifer Marion? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Who is Jennifer Marion? Ο. 25 Α. Jennifer Marion is our corporate CFO. Page 37

1 Okay. And then above that there's an E-mail Ο. 2 from Matt Meyer? 3 Α. Mayer. 4 Q. Who is Matt Mayer? Matt Mayer was my boss at the time. He was the VP of supply chain. 6 Okay. Fair to say from these E-mails that Q. your colleagues were happy with the outcome of the 9 negotiation? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Is it fair to say you were primarily 12 responsible for obtaining that good outcome? 13 It was a team effort, but yes. Α. 14 Ο. And how did you obtain that discount? 15 We obtained that discount by -- like I said, 16 a couple ways. One, we purchased all of those systems 17 at the same time instead of having each individual 18 hospital purchase them individually. So that was a factor. 19 2.0 There was also the factor that we were 21 pressured to get the deal done before the end of 22 September so that Intuitive would have the POs and be 23 able to deliver the systems before the end of 24 September because that was the end of their annual 25 fiscal year.

Page 38

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the aforesaid testimony was taken before me, pursuant to notice, at the time and place indicated; that said deponent was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the testimony of said deponent was correctly recorded in machine shorthand by me and thereafter transcribed under my supervision with computer-aided transcription; that the deposition is a true and correct record of the testimony given by the witness; and that I am neither of counsel nor kin to any party in said action, nor interested in the outcome thereof.

ulang of what

Nancy J. Martin, RMR, CSR

Dated: November 16, 2022

(The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying shorthand reporter.)

Page 99